



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,241	11/08/2001	Ralf Otremba	WMP-IFT618	6971
	90 07/14/2003			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			EXAMINER	
			MCCAMEY, ANN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/008,241	OTREMBA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ann M McCamey	2833	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eith	er a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONT ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW 706.07(f).	HS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the p fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statut (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to available of the control of the co	led within the period set forth in void dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration	and/or search (see NOTE below);
<ul><li>(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li></ul>	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE:	ing number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ubmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation She	has been considered but does NOT place the eet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ected SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not the explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected in	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	•
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved of	or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449)	Paper No(s).
10. Other:	
	RENEE LUEBKE
	PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. Applicant argues that the isolation barrier of Salina et al. is not disposed between the terminal pins. As seen in Fig. 2 of Salina et al, the isolation barrier 26 clearly is disposed between at least part of the terminal pins 24, meeting the limitations of the claims.